

Review process

1. The rules for reviewing publications in the journal *Polish-Jewish Studies* conform with the Ministry of Science and Higher Education's guidelines.
2. A submitted paper is assessed by the Editorial Team who either accept it provisionally and have it reviewed, or reject it.
3. Rules for the appraisal of papers by reviewers:
 - a.) All papers undergo the assessment of at least two reviewers.
 - b.) Papers transmitted by the editorial team are subject to double anonymity (a double-blind review: neither authors, neither reviewer knows each other's identity).
 - c.) Reviews are made in writing on the basis of the review form, and the review process ends with the reviewer's unambiguous conclusion concerning the paper's acceptance for publication or its rejection (see the annexe "Review Form").
 - d.) Authors are notified about the outcome of the review process. They receive the results so as to be able to inspect them.
 - e.) The editorial team serves as an intermediary in dealings between the author and the reviewers. The author is obliged to make revisions to the text following the reviewer's suggestions or to give reasons for the revision's lack of pertinence.
 - e.) The list of reviewers who collaborate with PJS is published on the website www.ipn.gov.pl and is updated annually.
3. Should one review be positive and the other one negative, a third reviewer is appointed.